Growth of Sobolev norms for the cubic NLS

Benoit Pausader N. Tzvetkov.

Brown U.

"Spectral theory and mathematical physics", Cergy, June 2016

Introduction

We consider the cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation

$$(i\partial_t + \Delta) u = |u|^2 u$$

This is a model for dispersive evolution with nonlinear perturbation. We want to understand the following questions:

- What is the influence of the domain?
- What kind of asymptotic behavior is possible?
- Creation of energy at small scales/ Growth of Sobolev norms

Introduction

We consider the cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation

$$(i\partial_t + \Delta) u = |u|^2 u$$

This is a model for dispersive evolution with nonlinear perturbation. We want to understand the following questions:

- What is the influence of the domain?
- What kind of asymptotic behavior is possible?
- Creation of energy at small scales/ Growth of Sobolev norms

NLS as a Hamiltonian system

Hamiltonian equation

$$\mathcal{H}(u) = \int_X \left\{ rac{1}{2} |
abla_g u|^2 + rac{1}{4} |u|^4
ight\} d
u_g, \ \Omega(u,v) = \Im \int_X u \overline{v} \, d
u_g,$$

In general, only one more conservation law

$$M(u) = \int_X |u|^2 d\nu_g.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Natural to study the equation in $H^1(X)$.

NLS as a Hamiltonian system

Hamiltonian equation

$$\mathcal{H}(u) = \int_X \left\{ rac{1}{2} |
abla_g u|^2 + rac{1}{4} |u|^4
ight\} d
u_g,
onumber \ \Omega(u,v) = \Im \int_X u \overline{v} \, d
u_g,$$

In general, only one more conservation law

$$M(u)=\int_X |u|^2 d\nu_g.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Natural to study the equation in $H^1(X)$.

NLS as a Hamiltonian system

Hamiltonian equation

$$\mathcal{H}(u) = \int_X \left\{ rac{1}{2} |
abla_g u|^2 + rac{1}{4} |u|^4
ight\} d
u_g,
onumber \ \Omega(u,v) = \Im \int_X u \overline{v} \, d
u_g,$$

In general, only one more conservation law

$$M(u)=\int_X |u|^2 d\nu_g.$$

Natural to study the equation in $H^1(X)$.

On \mathbb{R}^d , the equation is reasonably well understood:

- GWP when $d \le 4$ and ill-posed when $d \ge 5$ [Ginibre-Vélo, Bourgain, Grillakis, CKSTT, Killip-Visan, Kenig-Merle]
- $2 \leq d \leq 4$: Solutions scatters ,
- d = 1, small Solutions modified-scattering (cubic NLS completely integrable), solutions scatter for quintic nonlinearity.

In particular, smooth solutions satisfy

 $\|u(t)\|_{H^s} \leq C(\|u(0)\|_{H^1})\|u(0)\|_{H^s}$

uniformly in time.

These results can be extended to some cases of domain with "large volume" (e.g. \mathbb{H}^3 : **Banica**, **lonescu-P.-Staffilani**).

On \mathbb{R}^d , the equation is reasonably well understood:

- **GWP** when $d \le 4$ and ill-posed when $d \ge 5$
- $2 \le d \le 4$: Solutions scatters [..., **Dodson**],

 d = 1, small Solutions modified-scattering (cubic NLS completely integrable), solutions scatter for quintic nonlinearity.

In particular, smooth solutions satisfy

 $||u(t)||_{H^{s}} \leq C(||u(0)||_{H^{1}})||u(0)||_{H^{s}}$

uniformly in time.

These results can be extended to some cases of domain with "large volume" (e.g. \mathbb{H}^3 : **Banica**, **Ionescu-P.-Staffilani**).

On \mathbb{R}^d , the equation is reasonably well understood:

- GWP when $d \le 4$ and ill-posed when $d \ge 5$
- $2 \leq d \leq$ 4: Solutions scatters ,
- d = 1, small Solutions modified-scattering (cubic NLS completely integrable) [Zakharov-Shabat, Deift-Zhou, Hayashi-Naumkin, Kato-Pusateri], solutions scatter for quintic nonlinearity.

In particular, smooth solutions satisfy

 $||u(t)||_{H^s} \le C(||u(0)||_{H^1})||u(0)||_{H^s}$

uniformly in time.

These results can be extended to some cases of domain with "large volume" (e.g. \mathbb{H}^3 : **Banica**, **lonescu-P.-Staffilani**).

On \mathbb{R}^d , the equation is reasonably well understood:

• GWP when $d \le 4$ and ill-posed when $d \ge 5$

•
$$2 \leq d \leq 4$$
: Solutions scatters ,

d = 1, small Solutions modified-scattering (cubic NLS completely integrable), solutions scatter for quintic nonlinearity.

In particular, smooth solutions satisfy

$$||u(t)||_{H^s} \leq C(||u(0)||_{H^1})||u(0)||_{H^s}$$

uniformly in time.

These results can be extended to some cases of domain with "large volume" (e.g. \mathbb{H}^3 : **Banica**, **lonescu-P.-Staffilani**).

On \mathbb{R}^d , the equation is reasonably well understood:

• GWP when $d \le 4$ and ill-posed when $d \ge 5$

•
$$2 \leq d \leq 4$$
: Solutions scatters ,

d = 1, small Solutions modified-scattering (cubic NLS completely integrable), solutions scatter for quintic nonlinearity.

In particular, smooth solutions satisfy

$$||u(t)||_{H^s} \leq C(||u(0)||_{H^1})||u(0)||_{H^s}$$

uniformly in time.

These results can be extended to some cases of domain with "large volume" (e.g. \mathbb{H}^3 : Banica, Ionescu-P.-Staffilani).

(modified) Scattering

A solution scatters if it eventually follows the linear flow:

$$u(t) = e^{it\Delta} \left\{ f + o(1) \right\}, \qquad t \to \infty.$$

On \mathbb{R} , solutions sometimes have a "modified scattering"

$$\widehat{u}(\xi,t) = e^{it\partial_{\infty}}\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left\{e^{i|\widehat{f}(\xi)|^2\log t}\widehat{f}(\xi) + o(1)
ight\}, \qquad t o\infty$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 少へ⊙

with a logarithmic correction.

(modified) Scattering

A solution scatters if it eventually follows the linear flow:

$$u(t)=e^{it\Delta}\left\{f+o(1)
ight\},\qquad t o\infty.$$

On \mathbb{R} , solutions sometimes have a "modified scattering"

$$\widehat{u}(\xi,t) = e^{it\partial_{
m xx}} \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left\{e^{i|\widehat{f}(\xi)|^2\log t}\widehat{f}(\xi) + o(1)
ight\}, \qquad t o \infty$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

with a logarithmic correction.

NLS on small domains

For domains with "smaller volume": weaker dispersion, one expects the linear flow to play a less important role. This is what we want to explore.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

For GWP: only need control locally in time. Expect same theory as in \mathbb{R}^d .

- Verified in lower dimensions (T^d: Bourgain, d = 2 or S^d: Burq-Gérard-Tzvetkov).
- Even true in critical cases, e.g. T⁴ (Herr-Tataru-Tzvetkov, lonescu-P.).

Idea: Appropriate functional spaces to obtain small data theory. Large data, only obstruction is infinite concentration of energy at a point in space-time \rightarrow blow-up analysis + concentration compactness \rightarrow back to situation on \mathbb{R}^d . However, these results are still consistent with the following picture:

$$||u(k+1)||_{H^s} \leq 2||u(k)||_{H^s}.$$

For GWP: only need control locally in time. Expect same theory as in \mathbb{R}^d .

■ Verified in lower dimensions (T^d: Bourgain, d = 2 or S^d: Burq-Gérard-Tzvetkov).

■ Even true in critical cases, e.g. T⁴ (Herr-Tataru-Tzvetkov, lonescu-P.).

Idea: Appropriate functional spaces to obtain small data theory. Large data, only obstruction is infinite concentration of energy at a point in space-time \rightarrow blow-up analysis + concentration compactness \rightarrow back to situation on \mathbb{R}^d . However, these results are still consistent with the following picture:

$$||u(k+1)||_{H^s} \leq 2||u(k)||_{H^s}.$$

For GWP: only need control locally in time. Expect same theory as in \mathbb{R}^d .

■ Verified in lower dimensions (T^d: Bourgain, d = 2 or S^d: Burq-Gérard-Tzvetkov).

■ Even true in critical cases, e.g. T⁴ (Herr-Tataru-Tzvetkov, lonescu-P.).

Idea: Appropriate functional spaces to obtain small data theory. Large data, only obstruction is infinite concentration of energy at a point in space-time \rightarrow blow-up analysis + concentration compactness \rightarrow back to situation on \mathbb{R}^d . However, these results are still consistent with the following picture:

$$||u(k+1)||_{H^s} \leq 2||u(k)||_{H^s}.$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

For GWP: only need control locally in time. Expect same theory as in \mathbb{R}^d .

- Verified in lower dimensions (T^d: Bourgain, d = 2 or S^d: Burq-Gérard-Tzvetkov).
- Even true in critical cases, e.g. T⁴ (Herr-Tataru-Tzvetkov, lonescu-P.).

Idea: Appropriate functional spaces to obtain small data theory. Large data, only obstruction is infinite concentration of energy at a point in space-time \rightarrow blow-up analysis + concentration compactness \rightarrow back to situation on \mathbb{R}^d . However, these results are still consistent with the following picture:

$$||u(k+1)||_{H^s} \leq 2||u(k)||_{H^s}.$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

For GWP: only need control locally in time. Expect same theory as in \mathbb{R}^d .

■ Verified in lower dimensions (T^d: Bourgain, d = 2 or S^d: Burq-Gérard-Tzvetkov).

■ Even true in critical cases, e.g. T⁴ (Herr-Tataru-Tzvetkov, lonescu-P.).

Idea: Appropriate functional spaces to obtain small data theory. Large data, only obstruction is infinite concentration of energy at a point in space-time \rightarrow blow-up analysis + concentration compactness \rightarrow back to situation on \mathbb{R}^d .

However, these results are still consistent with the following picture:

$$||u(k+1)||_{H^s} \leq 2||u(k)||_{H^s}.$$

For GWP: only need control locally in time. Expect same theory as in \mathbb{R}^d .

■ Verified in lower dimensions (T^d: Bourgain, d = 2 or S^d: Burq-Gérard-Tzvetkov).

■ Even true in critical cases, e.g. T⁴ (Herr-Tataru-Tzvetkov, lonescu-P.).

Idea: Appropriate functional spaces to obtain small data theory. Large data, only obstruction is infinite concentration of energy at a point in space-time \rightarrow blow-up analysis + concentration compactness \rightarrow back to situation on \mathbb{R}^d . However, these results are still consistent with the following

picture:

$$||u(k+1)||_{H^s} \leq 2||u(k)||_{H^s}.$$

Asymptotic behavior

Asymptotic behavior much more difficult on a "small" domain. On \mathbb{T}^d , various heuristic arguments related to "weak turbulence":

- "generic solutions will explore all of phase space",
- "solutions will cascade to large frequency"
- "creation of small scales"

A related mathematical question was asked by **Bourgain** (00): *Does there exist a solution such that*

$$\|u(0)\|_{H^2}\lesssim 1, \qquad \limsup_{t
ightarrow+\infty}\|u(t)\|_{H^2}=\infty$$
 ?

Not possible if scattering or on \mathbb{R}^d or on \mathbb{T}^1 .

Difficult to control solutions globally in time on a compact domain! No explicit solution whose norm do become unbounded. Besides the growth should be slow: [**Bourgain**]

$$\|u(t)\|_{H^s} \lesssim_A (1+t)^A$$
 (conjecture $\ll (\log t)^A$).

Not possible if scattering or on \mathbb{R}^d or on \mathbb{T}^1 .

Difficult to control solutions globally in time on a compact domain! No explicit solution whose norm do become unbounded. Besides the growth should be slow: [Bourgain]

 $\|u(t)\|_{H^s} \lesssim_A (1+t)^A$ (conjecture $\ll (\log t)^A$).

Not possible if scattering or on \mathbb{R}^d or on \mathbb{T}^1 .

Difficult to control solutions globally in time on a compact domain! No explicit solution whose norm do become unbounded. Besides the growth should be slow: [**Bourgain**]

 $\|u(t)\|_{H^s} \lesssim_A (1+t)^A$ (conjecture $\ll (\log t)^A$).

Not possible if scattering or on \mathbb{R}^d or on \mathbb{T}^1 .

Difficult to control solutions globally in time on a compact domain! No explicit solution whose norm do become unbounded. Besides the growth should be slow: [**Bourgain**]

$$\|u(t)\|_{H^s} \lesssim_A (1+t)^A$$
 (conjecture $\ll (\log t)^A$).

Some evidence of finite growth [Kuksin]. Importantly

Arbitrary finite growth on \mathbb{T}^2 [CKSTT]

Given $\varepsilon > 0$, s > 1 and K > 0, there exists a solution u of cubic NLS on \mathbb{T}^2 and a time T such that

 $\|u(0)\|_{H^s} < \varepsilon, \qquad \|u(T)\|_{H^s} > K.$

Related results [Hani, Kaloshin-Guardia, Procesi-Haus].

Remark: Only shows no *a priori* uniform bound; it is possible that the growth saturates.

Recent similar results for the cubic half-wave equation on \mathbb{R} [Gérard-Lenzman-Pocovnicu-Raphael].

Some evidence of finite growth [Kuksin]. Importantly

Arbitrary finite growth on \mathbb{T}^2 [CKSTT]

Given $\varepsilon > 0$, s > 1 and K > 0, there exists a solution u of cubic NLS on \mathbb{T}^2 and a time T such that

 $\|u(0)\|_{H^{\mathfrak{s}}} < \varepsilon, \qquad \|u(T)\|_{H^{\mathfrak{s}}} > K.$

Related results [Hani, Kaloshin-Guardia, Procesi-Haus]. Remark: Only shows no *a priori* uniform bound; it is possible that the growth saturates.

Recent similar results for the cubic half-wave equation on \mathbb{R} [Gérard-Lenzman-Pocovnicu-Raphael].

The space $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}^2$

Nice space to test these questions $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}^2$:

- Access to nice Fourier analysis.
- Partially compact.

One can ask the following questions:

- what is the threshold for asymptotically linear behavior (i.e. scattering)?
- what happens beyond this?

These questions can be completely answered in the context of noncompact quotients of \mathbb{R}^d ($\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}^2$ is the most interesting example).

The space $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}^2$

Nice space to test these questions $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}^2$:

- Access to nice Fourier analysis.
- Partially compact.

One can ask the following questions:

- what is the threshold for asymptotically linear behavior (i.e. scattering)?
- what happens beyond this?

These questions can be completely answered in the context of noncompact quotients of \mathbb{R}^d ($\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}^2$ is the most interesting example).

The space $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}^2$

Nice space to test these questions $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}^2$:

- Access to nice Fourier analysis.
- Partially compact.

One can ask the following questions:

- what is the threshold for asymptotically linear behavior (i.e. scattering)?
- what happens beyond this?

These questions can be completely answered in the context of noncompact quotients of \mathbb{R}^d ($\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}^2$ is the most interesting example).

Scattering

[**Tzvetkov-Visciglia**, **Hani-P.**]. One can have a "nice" scattering theory for

$$(i\partial_t + \Delta) u = |u|^{p-1} u$$

on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}^d$ if and only if one can have a nice scattering theory for this equation on \mathbb{R} if and only if $p \ge 5$. At the limit (p = 5), the result is still true but sequences of

solutions lose compactness in new ways [Hani-P.].

Scattering

[**Tzvetkov-Visciglia**, **Hani-P.**]. One can have a "nice" scattering theory for

$$(i\partial_t + \Delta) u = |u|^{p-1} u$$

on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}^d$ if and only if one can have a nice scattering theory for this equation on \mathbb{R} if and only if $p \ge 5$. At the limit (p = 5), the result is still true but sequences of solutions lose compactness in new ways [Hani-P.].

Infinite growth [HPTV, Tzvetkov-P.]

Let $s>5/8,\,s\neq 1.$ There exists solutions of the cubic NLS on $\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{T}^2$ such that

 $\limsup_{t\to+\infty}\|U(t)\|_{H^s}=\infty.$

- Not true (for small data) on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}$ (some form of complete integrability).
- True even for some 0 < s < 1 despite the conservation laws at s = 0 and s = 1!
- Contrast with recent results of Killip-Visan, Koch-Tataru for the completely integrable case.

Infinite growth [HPTV, Tzvetkov-P.]

Let $s>5/8,\,s\neq 1.$ There exists solutions of the cubic NLS on $\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{T}^2$ such that

 $\limsup_{t\to+\infty}\|U(t)\|_{H^s}=\infty.$

■ Not true (for small data) on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}$ (some form of complete integrability).

- True even for some 0 < s < 1 despite the conservation laws at s = 0 and s = 1!
- Contrast with recent results of Killip-Visan, Koch-Tataru for the completely integrable case.

Infinite growth [HPTV, Tzvetkov-P.]

Let $s>5/8,\,s\neq 1.$ There exists solutions of the cubic NLS on $\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{T}^2$ such that

 $\limsup_{t\to+\infty}\|U(t)\|_{H^s}=\infty.$

- Not true (for small data) on ℝ × T (some form of complete integrability).
- True even for some 0 < s < 1 despite the conservation laws at s = 0 and s = 1!
- Contrast with recent results of Killip-Visan, Koch-Tataru for the completely integrable case.

Infinite growth [HPTV, Tzvetkov-P.]

Let $s>5/8,\,s\neq 1.$ There exists solutions of the cubic NLS on $\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{T}^2$ such that

 $\limsup_{t\to+\infty}\|U(t)\|_{H^s}=\infty.$

- Not true (for small data) on ℝ × T (some form of complete integrability).
- True even for some 0 < s < 1 despite the conservation laws at s = 0 and s = 1!
- Contrast with recent results of Killip-Visan, Koch-Tataru for the completely integrable case.

Solutions expected to decay over time,

$$(i\partial_t + \Delta) u = |u|^2 u \qquad (\sim \varepsilon^2 u)$$

to first order, solutions evolve linearly. Conjugate out the linear flow

$$u(t) = e^{it\Delta_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{T}^d}}F(t), \qquad i\partial_t F = \mathcal{N}[F, F, F]$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Solutions expected to decay over time,

$$(i\partial_t + \Delta) u = |u|^2 u \qquad (\sim \varepsilon^2 u)$$

to first order, solutions evolve linearly. Conjugate out the linear flow

$$u(t) = e^{it\Delta_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{T}^d}}F(t), \qquad i\partial_t F = \mathcal{N}[F, F, F]$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Solutions expected to decay over time,

$$(i\partial_t + \Delta) u = |u|^2 u \qquad (\sim \varepsilon^2 u)$$

to first order, solutions evolve linearly. Conjugate out the linear flow

$$u(t) = e^{it\Delta_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{T}^d}F(t)}, \qquad i\partial_t F = \mathcal{N}[F, F, F]$$
$$\mathcal{F}\mathcal{N}[F, G, H](\xi, p) = \sum_{q-r+s=p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{it\Phi}\widehat{F}_q(\xi-\eta)\overline{\widehat{G}_r}(\xi-\eta-\theta)\widehat{H}_s(\xi-\theta)d\eta d\theta,$$
$$\Phi := |p|^2 - |q|^2 + |r|^2 - |s|^2 + 2\eta\theta$$

$$\begin{split} &i\partial_t F = \mathcal{N}[F, F, F] \\ &\mathcal{F}\mathcal{N}[F, G, H](\xi, \rho) = \\ &\sum_{q-r+s=\rho} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{it\Phi} \widehat{F}_q(\xi - \eta, t) \overline{\widehat{G}_r}(\xi - \eta - \theta, t) \widehat{H}_s(\xi - \theta, t) d\eta d\theta, \\ &\Phi := |\rho|^2 - |q|^2 + |r|^2 - |s|^2 + 2\eta\theta \end{split}$$

Expect $\partial_t F \ll \varepsilon^2$ so main time dependence is in the phase. If $|\Phi| \ge 1$, can integrate terms through a normal form:

$$i\partial_t \widetilde{F} = \mathcal{N}_{|\Phi| \ll 1}[F, F, F] + O(F^5), \qquad F - \widetilde{F} = O(F^3)$$

Quintic nonlinearities lead to scattering: can be neglected after a long enough time.

$$\begin{split} &i\partial_t F = \mathcal{N}[F, F, F] \\ &\mathcal{F}\mathcal{N}[F, G, H](\xi, \rho) = \\ &\sum_{q-r+s=\rho} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{it\Phi} \widehat{F}_q(\xi - \eta, t) \overline{\widehat{G}_r}(\xi - \eta - \theta, t) \widehat{H}_s(\xi - \theta, t) d\eta d\theta, \\ &\Phi := |\rho|^2 - |q|^2 + |r|^2 - |s|^2 + 2\eta\theta \end{split}$$

Expect $\partial_t F \ll \varepsilon^2$ so main time dependence is in the phase. If $|\Phi| \ge 1$, can integrate terms through a normal form:

$$i\partial_t \widetilde{F} = \mathcal{N}_{|\Phi| \ll 1}[F, F, F] + O(F^5), \qquad F - \widetilde{F} = O(F^3)$$

Quintic nonlinearities lead to scattering: can be neglected after a long enough time.

$$\begin{split} &i\partial_t F = \mathcal{N}[F, F, F] \\ &\mathcal{F}\mathcal{N}[F, G, H](\xi, \rho) = \\ &\sum_{q-r+s=\rho} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{it\Phi} \widehat{F}_q(\xi - \eta, t) \overline{\widehat{G}_r}(\xi - \eta - \theta, t) \widehat{H}_s(\xi - \theta, t) d\eta d\theta, \\ &\Phi := |\rho|^2 - |q|^2 + |r|^2 - |s|^2 + 2\eta\theta \end{split}$$

Expect $\partial_t F \ll \varepsilon^2$ so main time dependence is in the phase. If $|\Phi| \ge 1$, can integrate terms through a normal form:

$$i\partial_t \widetilde{F} = \mathcal{N}_{|\Phi| \ll 1}[F, F, F] + O(F^5), \qquad F - \widetilde{F} = O(F^3)$$

Quintic nonlinearities lead to scattering: can be neglected after a long enough time.

We are left with

$$\begin{split} &i\partial_t F = \mathcal{R}'[F, F, F] \\ &\mathcal{F}\mathcal{R}'[F, G, H](\xi, p) = \\ &\sum_{q-r+s=p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{it\Phi}\varphi(\Phi)\widehat{F}_q(\xi - \eta, t)\overline{\widehat{G}_r}(\xi - \eta - \theta, t)\widehat{H}_s(\xi - \theta, t)d\eta d\theta, \\ &\Phi := |p|^2 - |q|^2 + |r|^2 - |s|^2 + 2\eta\theta \end{split}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Main contribution comes from stationary phase $\eta = \theta = 0$.

We are left with

$$i\partial_t \widehat{F}(\xi, p, t) = \frac{\pi}{t} \sum_{\substack{q-r+s=p,\\ |q|^2 - |r|^2 + |s|^2 = |p|^2}} \widehat{F}_q(\xi, t) \overline{\widehat{F}_r}(\xi, t) \widehat{F}_s(\xi, t)$$

This is, for each fixed ξ an ODE which is the resonant system of the cubic NLS on \mathbb{T}^2 . At this point, it is a derivative analysis from the work of CKSTT to create solutions that grow.

We are left with

$$i\partial_t \widehat{F}(\xi, p, t) = \frac{\pi}{t} \sum_{\substack{q-r+s=p,\\ |q|^2 - |r|^2 + |s|^2 = |p|^2}} \widehat{F}_q(\xi, t) \overline{\widehat{F}_r}(\xi, t) \widehat{F}_s(\xi, t)$$

This is, for each fixed ξ an ODE which is the resonant system of the cubic NLS on \mathbb{T}^2 .

At this point, it is a derivative analysis from the work of CKSTT to create solutions that grow.

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

We are left with

$$i\partial_t \widehat{F}(\xi, p, t) = \frac{\pi}{t} \sum_{\substack{q-r+s=p,\\|q|^2-|r|^2+|s|^2=|p|^2}} \widehat{F}_q(\xi, t) \overline{\widehat{F}_r}(\xi, t) \widehat{F}_s(\xi, t)$$

This is, for each fixed ξ an ODE which is the resonant system of the cubic NLS on \mathbb{T}^2 .

At this point, it is a derivative analysis from the work of CKSTT to create solutions that grow.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Although one would expect growth to appear more easily on \mathbb{T}^2 , this remains an open question.

All the results about growth so far rely on special solutions for the resonant system that grow.

Key difference between $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}^2$ and \mathbb{T}^2 : validity of approximation

$$Equ(u) \simeq RS(u) + O(u^5).$$

on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}^2$, quintic terms scatter and thus are perturbative globally in time.

Although one would expect growth to appear more easily on \mathbb{T}^2 , this remains an open question.

All the results about growth so far rely on special solutions for the resonant system that grow.

Key difference between $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}^2$ and \mathbb{T}^2 : validity of approximation

$$Equ(u) \simeq RS(u) + O(u^5).$$

on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}^2$, quintic terms scatter and thus are perturbative globally in time.

Although one would expect growth to appear more easily on \mathbb{T}^2 , this remains an open question.

All the results about growth so far rely on special solutions for the resonant system that grow.

Key difference between $\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{T}^2$ and $\mathbb{T}^2\colon$ validity of approximation

$$Equ(u) \simeq RS(u) + O(u^5).$$

on $\mathbb{R} imes \mathbb{T}^2$, quintic terms scatter and thus are perturbative globally in time.

Although one would expect growth to appear more easily on \mathbb{T}^2 , this remains an open question.

All the results about growth so far rely on special solutions for the resonant system that grow.

Key difference between $\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{T}^2$ and $\mathbb{T}^2\colon$ validity of approximation

$$Equ(u) \simeq RS(u) + O(u^5).$$

on $\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{T}^2,$ quintic terms scatter and thus are perturbative globally in time.

Quite challenging

- finding appropriate norms in which to close the nonlinear estimates, especially in the low-regularity case s < 1 when solutions are unbounded in L[∞].
- need to control over long time solutions of a nonintegrable ODE whose solutions can grow.

Idea: use 2 norms

■ A "Strong norm" which provides good control on the solutions (e.g. Δu , $xe^{-it\Delta}u \in L^2$) but which grows slowly over time

A "Weak norm" which remains bounded uniformly in time. Corresponds to a conservation law for the resonant system.

Quite challenging

- finding appropriate norms in which to close the nonlinear estimates, especially in the low-regularity case s < 1 when solutions are unbounded in L[∞].
- need to control over long time solutions of a nonintegrable ODE whose solutions can grow.

Idea: use 2 norms

- A "Strong norm" which provides good control on the solutions (e.g. Δu , $xe^{-it\Delta}u \in L^2$) but which grows slowly over time
- A "Weak norm" which remains bounded uniformly in time. Corresponds to a conservation law for the resonant system.

Modified scattering

Modified scattering for the cubic NLS on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}^2$ [HPTV, P.-Tzvetkov]

Let s > 1, there exists a norm X such that any ID small in X leads to a global solution of the cubic NLS on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}^2$. Moreover, this solution satisfies a modified scattering in the sense that there exists a solution of the equation

$$\partial_t \widehat{G}_{p}(\xi,t) = \sum_{\substack{p+q_2=q_1+q_3\ |p|^2+|q_2|^2=|q_1|^2+|q_3|^2}} \widehat{G}_{q_1}(\xi,t) \overline{\widehat{G}_{q_2}}(\xi,t) \widehat{G}_{q_3}(\xi,t)$$

such that

$$\|U(t)-e^{it\Delta_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{T}^2}}G(\pi\ln t)\|_{H^s}\to 0.$$

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト ・ヨ

Exotic solutions

All solutions to the resonant system

$$i\partial_t a_p = \sum_{\substack{q-r+s=p,\\|q|^2-|r|^2+|s|^2=|p|^2}} a_q \overline{a_r} a_s$$

correspond to an asymptotic behavior of the cubic NLS: many unusual behaviors! Growth, beating effect...

A key missing point: good understanding of the solutions of the resonant system.

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

Exotic solutions

All solutions to the resonant system

$$i\partial_t a_p = \sum_{\substack{q-r+s=p,\\|q|^2-|r|^2+|s|^2=|p|^2}} a_q \overline{a_r} a_s$$

correspond to an asymptotic behavior of the cubic NLS: many unusual behaviors! Growth, beating effect...

A key missing point: good understanding of the solutions of the resonant system.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

A physical space formula for the resonant system

One can also obtain a formula for the resonant system in the physical space: a function

$$f(x,t) = \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}^d} a_p(t) e^{i \langle p, x
angle}$$

is a solution if

$$i\partial_t f = \int_{\alpha=0}^{2\pi} e^{-i\alpha\Delta} \left\{ e^{i\alpha\Delta} f(x,t) \cdot \overline{e^{i\alpha\Delta} f(x,t)} \cdot e^{i\alpha\Delta} f(x,t) \right\} d\alpha$$

which is the Hamiltonian associated to the "averaged perturbation"

$$\mathcal{H}_{av} = \int_{lpha=0}^{2\pi} \int_X |e^{ilpha\Delta}f|^4 d
u dlpha.$$

◆ロト ◆昼 → ◆ 臣 → ◆ 臣 → のへぐ